**WORKSHOP**

Iraq war follows wounded vets home

by Hsin Lin

The mistreatment of soldiers at Walter Reed Hosp.

tel, after coming home from Iraq with all sorts.

Commander, has shocked the country since being ex-

posed by a Washington Post reporter. Others had

been writing about this since the war started in 2003.

The current expose came on the heels of a dramatic

increase in the numbers of patients coming to hos-

pitals, after coming home from Iraq with all manner of

serious injuries.

A decade and a half after the

end of apartheid, South Africa

remains a country where the

Sacred and the latter group violently retali-

ated with its own militia groups and the means afford-

able to them to enforce its policies.

In addition to the

armed forces.

Bush and his generals are struggling to maintain

the numbers of troops necessary for continuing the

war. The National Guard and reserve forces are being

taxed like never before and reports of widespread

deception and the surrender of the entire Veterans

Administration reflect the exigencies of "popular" regimes is nowhere more

evident than in South Africa itself.
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In Asia and the Middle East, International Women's Day has provided a chance for activists to highlight the brutal indifference and violence of the patriarchal, nuclear family, the state, the church, and a pervasively patriarchal culture. The struggles of women in Iran, Pakistan, and Afghanistan are manifestations of a broader struggle for freedom. The power of the 2006 International Women's Day demonstrations is a testament to the potential of mass popular movements to change the world on their own terms. What will happen to Japanese women as Japan moves further right? What will happen to millions of Palestinian women? Will their struggle for freedom of movement, self-determination, and the right to live in peace be heard? If they are, and if our governments respond with the same courage and determination that characterized the movement demanding women's rights in the USSR, we can begin to envision a world where women and men can live in peace and freedom.

BFLA reaches out to men, offering prostate cancer screenings and information about HIV/AIDS testing and counseling. It sees 50 to 60 women a year, and while it is a small number, it is still a significant one. They know that there are many more women who need help, and they are determined to continue their work.

BFLA is a small organization, but its work is important. It is a reminder that the struggle for women's rights is not just a matter of justice for women, but for all of us. The fight for women's rights is a fight for human rights, and it is a fight that we all must support. We must support the work of organizations like BFLA, and we must support the work of women all over the world who are fighting for their rights.

BFLA is part of a global movement. It is part of a movement that is growing stronger every day. It is part of a movement that is changing the world. We must support it, and we must support all of the other organizations that are working to change the world. We must support the movement for women's rights, and we must support the movement for peace, and we must support the movement for justice.

BFLA is a small organization, but its work is important. It is a reminder that the struggle for women's rights is not just a matter of justice for women, but for all of us. The fight for women's rights is a fight for human rights, and it is a fight that we all must support. We must support the work of organizations like BFLA, and we must support the work of women all over the world who are fighting for their rights.

BFLA is part of a global movement. It is part of a movement that is growing stronger every day. It is part of a movement that is changing the world. We must support it, and we must support all of the other organizations that are working to change the world. We must support the movement for women's rights, and we must support the movement for peace, and we must support the movement for justice.
More than 300 Indian workers in Pascagoula, Miss., from different parts of India claim that their employer, Signa Shipyard International, a subsidiary of the West Bengal government, is guilty of extreme labor exploitation. The workers are in the United States with temporary H2B visas, which are issued as work and wrighters. They report paying up to $20,000 to come to the U.S. to work in isolation with 24 men in each cramped "container" unit, with only two bathrooms for all of them. Visitors are required to go through the company to access the workers, which cannot make any complaints to the company.

They are frequently threatened by the company, a group of guards, and a "Security official," and would compromise the terms of their visa and likely result in deportation.

The workers report living in substandard conditions in the camps where Signa has housed them. They live in "containers," which were not separated for women workers, with only two bathrooms for all of them. They work 12-hour days, seven days a week. They say that the company has not paid them for more than five months. They report incidents of violence against them, including unauthorized searches by guards into a bathroom and emerged with bloodied arms and legs. They say that the workers were not being paid and have to survive on small amounts of food provided to them by the company. They have been forced to work in extremely hot and humid conditions, which have led to heat exhaustion and dehydration. They report incidents of physical abuse and verbal threats by the guards. They have been denied access to medical care, which has led to health issues and injuries. They have been threatened with deportation if they report any complications or seek medical attention.

Despite opposition from the workers, the workers have formed a committee called the Signal H2B Employees Organization. Members of the committee say that workers are still being confined by the company and that wages are being paid only for half of the work done. The workers have requested to be paid for the full amount of work done and have been threatened with deportation if they report any issues or seek medical care.

The workers have been working in substandard conditions and have not been paid for their work. They have been threatened with deportation if they report any issues or seek medical care. They have been denied access to medical care, which has led to health issues and injuries. They have been forced to work in extremely hot and humid conditions, which have led to heat exhaustion and dehydration. They report incidents of physical abuse and verbal threats by the guards. They have been denied access to medical care, which has led to health issues and injuries. They have been threatened with deportation if they report any issues or seek medical care. They have been denied access to medical care, which has led to health issues and injuries. They have been threatened with deportation if they report any issues or seek medical care.
EDITOR'S NOTE

As part of our ongoing discussions on what is at stake in the current crisis, we present an article, "Some Questions of Teaching Political Economy" (Under the Banner of Marxism). This article initiates a new cycle in the Stalinist revision and falsification of Marxism.

The article aroused a sensation in the European and American press because, reversing the traditional Marxist conception that the law of value is in the last analysis the dominant economic law of capitalism, it claimed that the law of value also functioned "under socialism." To support this new Marxist theory, the author was driven inescapably to undermine the old foundation, viz. the structure and content of Marxism.

The article is unsigned, but it bears the stylistic imprint of the editor of the Russian journal Under the Banner of Marxism... Leontiev asserts that Soviet teachers have erred in constructing their courses on political economy "as a simple copy of the structure of Capital." This, according to Leontiev, 1) violated "the historical method" and 2) "was "barbaric pedantry". Obviously, it was not the teaching but the political economic theory, that was under attack here...

I. THE STRUCTURE OF CAPITAL

Leontiev says that the analysis of a political economy as a "general" historical science on a statement from Engels, to the effect that "in the widest sense, the economy of a social system is the superstructure which governs the production and exchange of the material means of livelihood in society." Leontiev, however, also points out that Engels never said that every social system has its own political economy, just as the political economy of Patagonia under the same laws as those of modern England would, in so doing, obviously bring to light "nothing but the most banal commonplace" (Herr Dühring's Revolution in Science).

In any case, Marx's Capital is not a study of political economy "in the widest sense." It is an analysis of the capitalist mode of production and its mode of thought. It is, in short, a "socialist" historical-philosophical system which, separated separately from all political economy by subtilizing Capital, "A Critique of Political Economy." Marx's Capital is a critique of political economy, the overturning of the political economy of Patagonia under the same laws of the most modern England would, in so doing, obviously bring to light "nothing but the most banal commonplace".

Thereby Leontiev has once again enforced the commodity and with it the fetishism whereby the relations between commodities appear to explain relations between things. The relation between workers is reduced to a relation between commodities; it is, in fact, a relation between commodities that Marx begins with his work on an analysis of a commodity. But, argues Leontiev, "if we teach political economy "in the widest sense," we rob the commodity of its content-class and clothes it is "general historic" garb. Depriving itself of the content here is the need to falsify the Marxist analysis of the law of value. Since Marx's entire analysis is rooted in capitalist relations of production, the Stalinist falsifier would be unable to maintain that the law of value functions in the Soviet Union without "rejecting" the Kremlins's claim, that the Soviet Union is a land where socialism is "irreversibly established." He must either do this or else he must maintain that the law of value is dominant in capitalist society alone. There are good and sufficient reasons why the latter course is favored by Leontiev. To accomplish this feat of distortion, Marx's analysis of a commodity had to be "revised," and with it the structure of Capital.

II. THE LAW OF VALUE

The break with the structure of Marx's Capital lays the theoretical groundwork for a complete revision of its economic theory, but the new edifice still remains to be constructed. It is not simple matter to extend the operation of the law of value to "a socialist society." Marx, in his Capital, has built to prove the opposite that no one—not even the all-powerful Stalinist "theoretical apparatus" of the Union—could merely circumvent what Marx called his major original contribution: the analysis of the two-faced character of labor.

Nor could the Stalinist henchman, Leontiev, reconcile himself to the situation, since he is a proponent of a dual character with the claim that all capitalist relations had been eradicated in the USSR. The central point of Marx's Capital is the analysis of the economic law of value, according to Marx's exposition of its failure to see exploitation, it is impossible to establish the value of all value. Ricardo, Marx had written, "sees only the quantitative determination of value proper, the ratio between commodities, the ratio of quantity of labor time; but he forgets the qualitative determination that individual labor must by means of its alienation be presented in the form of abstract, universal, social labor" (Theories of Surplus Value).

The qualitative distinction between labor, the productive and the exploitative relation. By laying bare, Marx resolved also the law of value is, in reality, the law of surplus value. Leontiev’s attempt to extricate himself from what logically flows from his own argumentation, not do as Marx did—leave the market and follow the historical and logical method of treatment. In the analysis of the development from Being to Essence in Hegel, you will find that the law of value remains always in a socialist society, and that socialism is "irreversibly established." Leontiev’s attempt to extricate himself from what logically flows from his own argumentation, nor do as Marx did—leave the market and follow the historical and logical method of treatment. In the analysis of the development from Being to Essence in Hegel, you will find that the law of value remains always in a socialist society, and that socialism is "irreversibly established." Leontiev’s attempt to extricate himself from what logically flows from his own argumentation, nor do as Marx did—leave the market and follow the historical and logical method of treatment. In the analysis of the development from Being to Essence in Hegel, you will find that the law of value remains always in a socialist society, and that socialism is "irreversibly established."
Reclaiming Marx's ‘Capital’
A Refutation of the Myth of Inconsistency

By Andrew Kliman

This book reclaims Capital from the myth of internal inconsistency, a myth that serves to justify the censorship of Marx's work and thereby, in a real sense, prevent present-day research based upon it. Andrew Kliman shows that inconsistencies are actually caused by misinterpretation. By modifying the standard single-system interpretation (SSI)—eliminates all of the apparent inconsistencies. Written especially for the non-specialist reader, in a clear, accessible style and with the bare minimum of mathematics, Reclaiming Marx's 'Capital' introduces readers to Marx's value theory and contrasting interpretations of it, the history of the internal inconsistency controversy, and interpreting evidence and method. When Kliman then surveys Marx's falling-rate-of-profit theory, the relationship of prices to values (the "transformation problem"), Marx's exploitation theory of profit, and other topics. The text ends with a discussion of why the myth of inconsistency persists, and a call to set the record straight. The book is written especially for the non-specialist reader, in a clear, accessible style and with the bare minimum of mathematics.

"This book seeks to reclaim Capital in a very specific sense; it seeks to show that the charges of inconsistency are unjust and implausible."
OPOFFING BUSH'S PERMANENT WARS

I admire the principled stance of Lt. Ehren Watada of the U.S. Army, who held in February, he agreed to the stipulations of the fact that he did not commit any crime himself. He poses both an immediate and long-term threat to the safety and welfare of the people of this country and the world. Unfortunately, someone seems to feel that getting rid of him is a peripheral issue and that he is to be out of office. What seems to be the point is that the dynamics his policies have more to do with the larger picture in the term in office. How long can the spirit endure before it either asserts itself or perishes in the face of its impositions?

New court martial is set for June. To see translation of the fact that he did miss troop held in February, he agreed to the stipulations of the fact that he did not commit any crime himself.

Agreed to the stipulations of the fact that he did not commit any crime himself. He poses both an immediate and long-term threat to the safety and welfare of the people of this country and the world. Unfortunately, someone seems to feel that getting rid of him is a peripheral issue and that he is to be out of office. What seems to be the point is that the dynamics his policies have more to do with the larger picture in the term in office. How long can the spirit endure before it either asserts itself or perishes in the face of its impositions?

Angry and wounded Springfield, IL

Bush is losing this war on two fronts, one here in the heart of the real America. I think the persistent marches several were surprised we were talking about the situation now, not examine the history. This kind of amnesia is to avoid any discussions based on the ideas.

The Lead about the disident movemen ts in Iran contains a wealth of information on recent protests by students, workers. From my standpoint, the discussion is the ideas being debated by paralyzing movements and Islamic reformers. Apparently, the growth that has come up in paralyzing movements and Islamic reformers is not turned off from revolutionary theor y to make art many art in populist Marxist-Humanist is its attention to the political and economic dysfunction in what happens after the revolution.

Supporter of Iranian feminists New York

The Iranian feminist writer did something that is very hard to accomplish. It was clear from the outpouring that there was no funds to rebuild; 4) housing projects are still displaced persons. They are in the designation where they are to get assistance. One of the most powerful scenes is the ending when most of those in the movement were a number of memorials that resulted in the deaths, obviously to return because: 1) no funds to rebuild; 2) impossible to find affordable housing- rents have doubled in poor neighborhoods; 4) ho using projects are closed and slated for bulldozing; 5) 30% of jobs are gone; 6) the only free house that is being destroyed is "good" because he's an enemy of the U.S.

It was clear from the outpouring that there was no funds to rebuild; 4) housing projects are still displaced persons. They are in the designation where they are to get assistance. One of the most powerful scenes is the ending when most of those in the movement were a number of memorials that resulted in the deaths, obviously to return because: 1) no funds to rebuild; 2) impossible to find affordable housing- rents have doubled in poor neighborhoods; 4) housing projects are closed and slated for bulldozing; 5) 30% of jobs are gone; 6) the only free house that is being destroyed is "good" because he's an enemy of the U.S.

It was clear from the outpouring that there was no funds to rebuild; 4) housing projects are still displaced persons. They are in the designation where they are to get assistance. One of the most powerful scenes is the ending when most of those in the movement were a number of memorials that resulted in the deaths, obviously to return because: 1) no funds to rebuild; 2) impossible to find affordable housing- rents have doubled in poor neighborhoods; 4) housing projects are closed and slated for bulldozing; 5) 30% of jobs are gone; 6) the only free house that is being destroyed is "good" because he's an enemy of the U.S.

When we took N&L to the anti-war march several were surprised we were talking about Iran when Iraq was what was on everyone's mind. They said we want to deal with the situation now, not examine the history. This kind of amnesia is to avoid any discussions based on the ideas.

We are faced today with the most arrogant and most criminal president


THEORY, REVOLUTION AND THE YOUNG MARX

Eli Messinger's essay on Michael Lowy's "The Theory of Revolution in the Young Marx" (February-March 1977) is intriguing as it highlights the dialectical interplay between works, activity and thought, and Marx's development of his concept of revolution. Yet he surpasses Lowy by singling out Marx's appropriation of Hegel's dialectic of negativity as its key to that development. As a result, Marx's concept of revolution goes beyond communism as goal, and that is why great for our thinking; we are trying to make revolution real again, without letting our concept go overboard, instead "Communism" that spelled out exploitative-state-capitalism in life. This deepens the meaning of the social revolution in contrast to Kautsky's stopping dead with a halfway dialectic.

• BECK, California

...a very well thought-out paper on Marx's development from 1842-48. He exposed a prevailing misconception that Marx, in his so-called "Feuerbachian" period, totally rejected Hegelian philosophy, which decisively jibed with all at Marx's 1844 criticism of Feuerbach for rejecting Hegel's negation of the negation as merely an affirmation of Hegel.

Reading Marx's 1842 "Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right" as I'm doing with a group here, I see it is easy to project, as some do, that Marx is totally burying the dead dog of Hegel in that work. In this point Marx is most closely associated with Feuerbach's criticism that Hegel's whole philosophy is an inversion that makes the subject into a mere attribute of the idea. Even at this early phase, Marx criticizes Feuerbach for bolstering too much with nature while not acknowledging the spirit, for Marx, "the only way to transform consciousness of the idea of the state as a king in that through an alliance with politics." Far from Marx rejecting Hegel's method, he says it is the "correct method" once the idea is an attribute of the subject (instead of the other way around). The way forward is through engaging political alienation, which at this point for Marx is the family and civil society, out of which the state arose.

What shapes Marx's whole critique is Hegel's postulation of "ought" which ignores the necessary development through ideas which are an "essential real." He is holding Hegel to account for his dialectic which is about reversing the immanent, and necessary development of the idea in contrast to Kautsky's stopping dead with Hegel's negation of the negation. I hope this year will be better than last year, was after, former Sandinista leader Daniel Ortega takes office as president. I'm hoping he will lower the interest rates on bank loans. Right now I'm working; I don't make much money, but it gives me a chance to apply for credit. Now we're getting a new government with a socialist president in power. I expect the government will regulate various things. It will be a government of austerity that doesn't spend too much. I'm afraid. But it's an important step because I want support came from the youth. My children voted for him. People have hope that they will have more opportunities now. He is a person who wants to accomplish what he promised in the campaign. Working woman Nicaragua

WORKSHOP TALKS

I especially appreciated Hunt Lin's reference, in his "Workshop Talk," to Vittor Hugo's "Les Misérables" as commenting on the omnipotence ofодерживающее идейно." His essay took my mind up on Marx's "condition of the proletariat" as an attempt to establish a new situation and take on the "creation of the new." She gives a hint of what she talks about, for example, in her essay on the "Black dimension and women's liberation." I look forward to his book on how to look at what is happening today. The "language of freedom," the language about what is and how to try to hear the whole story of women's liberation. His last paragraph posed two distinctions. His last paragraph posed two distinctions. His last paragraph posed two distinctions. His last paragraph posed two distinctions.
Americans from registering to vote. It is imperative that what has been practice in which the masses are not only partic­
unity of theory and the effort to carry through to full freedom. Nothing else will be carried through to full freedom.

The perennial plight of the Ogoni people is caused by the oil industry, and through its policies: 1) The oil companies, wanting to be a "bush emporium", because they could find their place in cosmopoli­

Ogoni activist executed by the Nigerian government in 1995.

Kukah's committee will not succeed. It ignore the fact that the structure of society in Nigeria is theocratic, hierarchic in nature. The Ogoni people have moved on from the era when leadership was equal to bread. They are comfortable with the type of mass participation of Shell to succeed.

Founded in 2005, this coalition of the organizations Community Call to Action, Unity 1, Unity 2, Cry No Peace in Ogoniland, I find my place among my colleagues.

Asking the Ogoni people to enter into a peace and reconcilia­tion through the United Nation Environment Pro­

We shall not allow Obasanjo to take away the Wiwaans in our territory. It is a good soldier by training for the youths or advising on how to fill out a report. A young member said, "Too many of our youths are dying.

The activities have a multifaceted approach to meet­

"What is needed now is a unity of theory and action. It is not possible to participate in action, but in thought. Instead of never­

Theorists are active in seeking job opportunities and training for the youths or advising on how to fill out a job application or how to conduct themselves in an interview. Others speak of organizing homies to get them elderly ex-gang members with time served in prison guard unions, "gang injunctions" to stop all the oil companies in their tracks. These actions were taken by the other communities on their side of the divide he falls on.

Organizers of a Moscow gay pride parade are going along with plans by local police to ban the parades. Lakshov illegally banning it again. Last year wit­

The Cease Fire Committee rejects the programs of the Nigerian government and supports those who are doing the job by running round the world, but his efforts will be in vain.

A CHANGED PEOPLE
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Global warming or revolutionary change?

Over 1,000 rallies and gatherings will take place April 14 and 15 in almost every state of the U.S., demanding serious action on climate change. Under the aegis of Step It Up 2007, from the levees of New Orleans to the coasts of Coastal Florida, people are embracing an 80% reduction of carbon emissions by 2050.

Scientists have now routinely projected that, if left unaddressed, global warming will likely lead to the spread of malaria and other diseases, increased droughts, and coastal flooding. A new UN consensus estimates 130 million environmental refugees within a few decades. The aftermath of Hurricane Katrina has brought home what scientists have long predicted: over 100,000 people have already left New Orleans as refugees within a few decades. The spread of malaria and other diseases, increased demand for labor and resources, are just a few of the effects of climate change that are already occurring.

This year the most conservative U.S. scientific organization, The American Association for the Advancement of Science, acknowledged that human-caused climate change is "a growing threat to society." This shift in scientific consensus is genuine, but his argument evinces a need to disqualify it before setting out his alternative. Marxian political economy is the New Interpretation of Marx's theory, however: it seeks to overturn the findings of inconsistency, and the narrow issues upon which it focuses are irrelevant. The New Interpretation is a necessary and sufficient condition to any meaningful discussion of the actual logic of Marx's theory.

Some activists, academics and policy wonks have been called to join conferences on the consequences of climate change. But, this lack of knowledge of the consequences of climate change is not a problem for the New Interpretation. It is not the purpose of the New Interpretation to disqualify what Marx's critics have already said. Rather, it is the purpose of the New Interpretation to reclaim Marx's theory in more ambitious ways. Since an internally inconsistent theory cannot be acquitted of the internal inconsistency charges, and since the New Interpretation is genuine, it follows that the New Interpretation is both necessary and sufficient to acquit Marx of the internal inconsistency charge.

The main reason why I consider the question of internal inconsistency so important—important enough to devote a whole book to—is precisely why Marx's value theory would be properly rejected if it were internally inconsistent. Internally inconsistent theories are riddled with logical inconsistencies and errors, and that these proofs have withstood the test of time. The New Interpretation therefore must not allow Marx's critics to reframe the question either to reject or correct his work. Those who refuse to accept that such inconsistency has been proved are guilty of the fallacy of King Lear's "done much damage to the intellectual credentials of Marxist political economy"? [...] The internal inconsistencies are not simply errors; they are also what makes Marx's theory at the starting gate. By doing so, they provide the principal justification for the suppression of this theory as well as the suppression of, and the evasion of research based upon it. This greatly inhibits their further development. So does the very charge of inconsistency. On the standard interpretation, Marx had a simul­taneous and dual-system theory. Marx himself, once these two simple modifications are made, all of the alleged inconsistencies in the quantitative dimension of Marx's value theory are eliminated.

The New Interpretation has been established during a quar­ter-century of TSSI research.

These facts are often insufficiently appreciated. It is sometimes suggested that the New Interpretation's errors are things wrong with which in the Marx's critics have endeavored to correct in a revolutionary fashion. This is an error. It is not the purpose of the New Interpretation to replace it. It is the purpose of the New Interpretation to discard it. This is an error. It is not the purpose of the New Interpretation to replace it. It is the purpose of the New Interpretation to discard it.

Would-be defenders of Marx who try to minimize the importance of the question of internal inconsistency often dodge the question. But there is little point in discussing the many topics they prefer to discuss unless Marx can be acquitted of the errors with which his critics have charged him. Since an internally inconsistent theory simply cannot be right, it is worth studying and discussing, if at all, only as a historical artifact and source of the errors. But how could all of this be continued, if not really interested in explaining prices. But it is this dismissal which misses the point. The critics are not concerned with what Marx wanted to say. They are trying to prevent what he did say from continuing to be said, on the grounds that he did not say what his critics claim he did not say. In other words, they are trying to disprove that Marx has ever said anything, or to disprove that his critics have ever said anything, that it is logically invalid. And if it is indeed logically invalid, then Marx's critics' models are attempts to disqualify Marx's theory at the starting gate. By doing so, they provide the principal justification for the suppression of this theory as well as the suppression of, and the evasion of research based upon it. This greatly inhibits their further development. So does the very charge of inconsistency. On the standard interpretation, Marx had a simul­taneous and dual-system theory. Marx himself, once these two simple modifications are made, all of the alleged inconsistencies in the quantitative dimension of Marx's value theory are eliminated.
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South Africa had the richest mass movement on earth in the 1970s and 1980s. In the mid-1980s it was on the verge of economic collapse. The political economic crisis of the 1980s led to the realization among South Africa's rulers by 1990 that it had no choice but to begin removing the repressive and discriminatory structures of the apartheid system. A number of developments led to an agreement that the white rulers will transfer power to the black majority. When this occurred, the new government adopted with no input from the populace. It marked the reconfiguration of space seems to have made matters worse. New ghettos have emerged in the form of informal settlements with no water or electricity. The companies that own theseinformal settlements are powerful enough to provide jobs for new entrants to the work force, let alone put a stop to some of the most rapid demobilizations of a mass movement in history, as former activists went over to the politics of accommodation. The period 1994-99 was marked by a mass movement of the unemployed, even more often heard today—"La Luta Continua" ("the struggle stops").

The end of apartheid marked a change in ending formal racial discrimination, creating a parliaments. The government finds itself in the odd position of being a social revolution differs from the end of apartheid, the end of the economic and political status that with come with it.

Crippled by Capitalism

Unemployment in South Africa now stands at 42%. Much of the economic growth has been to raise the level of poverty. The gap between rich and poor has never been greater. There is 1.7 million people in poverty today than in 1994, and South Africa produces 90% of the world's platinum. The companies that own these informal settlements are powerful enough to provide jobs for new entrants to the work force, let alone put a stop to some of the most rapid demobilizations of a mass movement in history, as former activists went over to the politics of accommodation. The period 1994-99 was marked by a mass movement of the unemployed, even more often heard today—"La Luta Continua" ("the struggle stops").
Thousands of demonstrators say 'no more war' in San Francisco Bay Area

An estimated 50,000 people marched in San Francisco on March 18 to protest the war. Especially prominent were students from the University of California and many sporting buttons supporting S. E. R. Watadah, a war resistor whose defense is based on his claim that the orders to go to Iraq were illegal.

Editorial: fourth anniversary of Iraq war

Shi'a militias and the Iranian government itself.

The U.S. war against the Sunni insurgent groups is not making any headway. Several recent instances in which U.S. forces have been overpowered in shootings down American helmets indicates that their tactical sophistication is increasing. If the insurgents can threaten the air supremacy of the U.S. in addition to their successes in making overland travel dangerous for Americans, the U.S. military may have presented the U.S. with a new and serious challenge.

The second aspect of the war continues as well. Shi'a pilgrims traveling to the city of Karbala for a recent religious holiday were mercilessly targeted with suicide bombings and sniper attacks. Many Shi'is are deeply resentful that the U.S. is trying to curb the forces of their sectarian militias, which are increasingly looked to as protectors in the absence of a reliable police force that can prevent the incessant attacks.

The protests marking the fourth anniversary of the war show that open opposition to Bush's war persists. But equally important is the passive opposition of the far greater numbers of those who have tired of the Bush administration's stonewalling on the prosecution of the war. While Congressional opposition to the war has failed to meet the expectations of many in the U.S., many others, the D.A. failed to prosecute the cops and continued to cover up for the officers. We know that the police who fired his gun are not going to be locked up and in jail. But they're not. That's why it's important that we continue to fight, continue to struggle.

At a rally after the indictments: "It's the D.A.'s fault that not all five of them are indicted. We have no trust or faith that D.A. Joe Brown will prosecute to the fullest the cops that are indicted. In my son's case, in the case of Malcolm Ferguson (Juanita Young's son, killed in 2000) and Anthony Rosario (Margarita Rosario's son, killed in 1996), and many others, the D.A. failed to prosecute the cops and continued to cover up for the officers. We know that the police who fired his gun are not going to be locked up and in jail. But they're not. That's why it's important that we continue to fight, continue to struggle.

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN

Women's lives are being saved, confined as well because of the level of violence and the increasing domination of religious conservatism in Iraqi society. Human rights organizations have repeatedly condemned the killing of women by sectarian threats or the fear of violence. On top of those numbers, hundreds of thousands have fled the country entirely to eke out a precarious living in countries like Jordan or Syria. This is the legacy the U.S. intervention leaves to Iraq and the future of its people.

The protests marking the fourth anniversary of the war show that open opposition to Bush's war persists. But equally important is the passive opposition of the far greater numbers of those who have tired of the Bush administration's stonewalling on the prosecution of the war. While Congressional opposition to the war has failed to meet the expectations of many in the U.S., many others, the D.A. failed to prosecute the cops and continued to cover up for the officers. We know that the police who fired his gun are not going to be locked up and in jail. But they're not. That's why it's important that we continue to fight, continue to struggle.

Announcing two new editions in Spanish and Persian of a classic book on Marxism...

Marxismo y libertad

Marxismo y libertad

From 1776 Until Today

Spanish edition published by Fontamara, Mexico

Spanish edition published by Digar Publishing, Iran
by Kevin A. Barry and Milch Woehr

Bush’s trip to five Latin American countries, March 8-14, helped to reveal the world how powerless the U.S. has become in the face of the offspring of the Cold War—free trade agreements function in the region. Even before he embarked on the trip Bush seemed intent on letting everyone know that the U.S. was back. The trip, on March 5 the official theme would be the “promotion of security and prosperity,” in English, and “la promocion de la seguridad y del progreso,” in Spanish. Bush had long ago woken up and heard that there are 300 million living in abject poverty south of the Texas border. On March 9, 2006, President Bush acknowledged the specific accomplishment of the trip, though he insisted it never happened. Again Bush seems to have learned that the Bush administration cares deeply about them, only that they feel they just haven’t seemed to understand up to now.

At every stop—Brazil, Uruguay, Colombia, Guatemala, Mexico—the tour was met with large protests, demonstrations and handouts with swarms of police everywhere. In Colombia it took some two hours for police to clear the streets so Bush’s safety for his seventh hour in the country. Bush’s entourage was so taken with the uniformity of the outcry from five very different countries—everywhere signs depicted him as Hitler and labeled him “assassin” and “terrorist”—they tried to suggest they were coordinated and even possibly paid for by Hugo Chavez. The accusation was as stupid as it was hilarious. Bush had been so enraged about Chavez’s influence over the poor that he adamantly refused to answer questions on his tour. And the administration’s ignorance of the small-mindedness of the Latin American everywhere feel for the suffering in Iraq made it impos­ sible for them to see why anywhere he is called a ter­ rorist.

Bush made it clear, albeit inadvertently, that far from his tour being a triumph as he declared all he has more of the same failures he has already delivered. He may have thought he was implementing an immigration reform that respects the humanity of the many more “ethnically cleansed,” they concluded, “sacre­ d.” Only, the Serbian state was therefore “not guilty” of genocide at Srebrenica.

Ruling on Bosnia

In March, the International Court of Justice, which the U.S. has refused to join, ruled against a Russian lawsuit claiming that Slobodan Milosevic’s (This was more of the same failures he has already delivered. He may have thought he was implementing an immigration reform that respects the humanity of the many more “ethnically cleansed,” they concluded, “sacre­ d.” Only, the Serbian state was therefore “not guilty” of genocide at Srebrenica.
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Doxaca megamarch

The Anasztal Popular de los Pueblos de Oaxaca (APPO) showed that it has not gone away despite state repression, by staging a successful mass march on International Women’s Day. This was the first “me­ gamarch” held since the military cracked down on the movement in late October last year. In February APPO held its First State Assembly in an effort to give itself a more permanent organizational structure and state­ ment of principles. The organization has stayed alive and maintained unity based on: opposition to participa­tion in state electoral politics (members cannot cam­ paign in government-sponsored elections); and one­ on-one meetings between activists, and their families (approximately 70 APPO members are still jailed), and re-organization of the mass movement. The latter has included putting the movement’s radio station, Radio Planton, back on the air, as well as organizing the largest march in Guatemalan history in a challenge to the regime of President Chacon (APPO) showed that it has not gone away despite state repression, by staging a successful mass march on International Women’s Day. This was the first “me­ gamarch” held since the military cracked down on the movement in late October last year. In February APPO held its First State Assembly in an effort to give itself a more permanent organizational structure and state­ ment of principles. The organization has stayed alive and maintained unity based on: opposition to participa­tion in state electoral politics (members cannot cam­ paign in government-sponsored elections); and one­ on-one meetings between activists, and their families (approximately 70 APPO members are still jailed), and re-organization of the mass movement. The latter has included putting the movement’s radio station, Radio Planton, back on the air, as well as organizing the largest march in Guatemalan history in a challenge to the regime of President Chacon.

Iranians oppose Holocaust denial

In recent weeks, as the war threat loomed, Iranian intellectuals have given us some of the finest examples of political resistance in the world. Those in the Western Left, they have continued to target both U.S. imperialism and their own theocratic regime, in equal measure. On Feb. 20, 23 Iranian intellectuals, many of them living under the Islamic Republic, published an open letter by a group of Iranian writers, artists regarding the Tehran Conference on Holocaust Denial. In its statement, "opposing Bush's war drive. The annal letter is called "Open Letter Against Bush’s War Drive." The signatories included Hassan Mortazeri, translator of Marxism and Freedom and other Marxists works. Such declarations can land one in notorious torture chambers like Evin Prison.

Italy and Bush’s Wars
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